

HOW TO KNOW TRUTH IN A WORLD OF LIES

March 23, 2014 at 7:47am

Public

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/emc2-is-rubbish/485479484864349> and <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/so-you-think-the-big-bang-is-scientific/620654451346851>

Let me attempt to convey to all as briefly as possible how I know $e=mc^2$ and all the modern "big bang theories" are such obvious rubbish.

1) by simple observation - energy and mass are not equal terms. energy exists irrespective of mass throughout the universe ANYONE who uses their perceptions can EASILY ascertain that fact! mass must be ACTED UPON by ENERGY in order for it to take on qualities that resemble ENERGY; with it's clear definitions. Declaring that all mass has a "universal constant" or claiming light travels; or mass or "massless" particles at a universal constant doesn't make it true; particularly when we all can see mass/matter (no matter how large or small) moves at VARIABLE VELOCITIES; can be accelerated, decelerated, stopped altogether and even destroyed) to select an arbitrary constant speed and tell all mass in the whole universe "THIS IS YOUR SPEED LIMIT" and FURTHERMORE to claim that is also the maximum speed information/knowledge can travel is "PLAYING GOD"! https://www.google.com/search?q=experiments+that+show+quantum+spooky+action+is+faster+than+light&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=experiments+that+show+quantum+spooky+action+is+faster+than+light&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8 And again, is such obvious nonsense that it is truly a wonder how such an imagination/fiction gained such wide acclaim as it holds today; a fantasy that flies so clearly in the face of SIMPLE, ACCURATE OBSERVATION of the creation/reality we all exist in.

2) by logic - energy exists within mass holding it together and presenting visible matter (true observation) BUT to then conclude that mass and energy are equivalent IS SUCH AN OBVIOUS FALSE CONCLUSION THAT I AM WILLING TO BE LAUGHED AT AND INSULTED BY THOSE WHO RELIGIOUSLY CLAIM OTHERWISE; knowing that one day sooner or later they will all see that the only defense such nonsense really has is unsupportable religious belief.

3) because I KNOW WHAT IS TRUTH. I KNOW and LEARN DIRECTLY FROM the ONE TRUE GOD AND CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE. I have "scientifically tested" HIS WORD, as contained in the HOLY BIBLE and found it FUNDAMENTALLY TRUE in so many ways; that from my perspective, the only reason others don't KNOW HIM and HIS WORD as such; is from their own lack of knowledge.

"scientific knowledge" today is constantly being replaced

https://www.google.com/search?q=scientists+once+believed&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=sc&aqs=chrome.0.69i59j69i57j69i59l2j69i61j0.4792j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8; so when I point out that setting a universal speed limit for everything in the universe even information is "playing god"; I am not exaggerating; just being honest.

https://www.google.com/search?q=quantum+spooky+action+faster+than+light&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=quantum+spooky+action+faster+han+light&aqs=chrome..69i57.9871j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8 controlled experiments here on earth are not license to say that the finding(s) justifies setting universal laws throughout all time and space. If our Creator has not done so; then it is absolutely pure folly for anyone to suggest otherwise. In addition, to makeup terms like "massless particles", "energy at rest", "universal constant" are such obvious vain attempts to support a disproven theory (like relativity), that it is no way to be objective about accurate observation(s) of the universe. Basically, saying "massless particle" is a way of saying scientists have discovered energy is NOT dependent on mass; but rather, most obviously and ubiquitously EXISTS without mass! and as such the created term is a way to ignore that evidence in order to cling to the religious fiction of the theory of relativity. Fact: energy exists with/within mass/matter; FICTION: to claim that makes mass and energy equivalent in any way. FACT: we have observed light traveling at various speeds FICTION: to claim all mass and energy in the universe is bounded by such current observations. (which is such a miniscule amount of what is left to be observed in the universe; I shouldn't have to tell anyone this). $e=mc^2$ is most definitely not true. (neither the intertwined science fiction based on it or associated with it; like the many big bang theories floating around in imaginations these days.)

I suppose the religious worship that surrounds the theory of relativity is so strong, that I should elaborate further as to why my "b.s. -ometer" pegs so hard on so many levels that I find it difficult to believe people ACTUALLY think $E=mc^2$ is fact; when it most definitely is nothing more than disproven imagination.

I'm coming from a position of what I know is fundamental Truth and measuring it against a suggested idea based on an observation or observations of creation. Examples of why my b.s. ometer goes off when discussing theoretical physics (just about all of it) is because they will say things like "there is no void in space" in one breath and in the next say "we have observed light traveling in a vacuum" and measured it's speed even though such speed is beyond the means of our natural vision to track. BUT by saying this, they want everyone else to believe that light observed in an unnatural manmade setting, being emitted from an unnatural manmade device, HAS NO OTHER FORCES OF ENERGY ACTING UPON IT (hence their phrase "but we have measured the speed of light in a vacuum"). To which I then point to another sentence they will say "There is a constant attractive force between the Earth and everything surrounding it, due to gravity." In other words, THEY WANT TO SAY NATURAL LIGHT THROUGHOUT THE UNIVERSE IS BOUNDED BY AN UNNATURAL LIGHT SOURCE, IN A MOST UNNATURAL SETTING. And to which I respond RUBBISH!

These are the many reasons particles, even their imaginary "massless" ones are NOT BOUNDED by their imaginary constant:

1) Light does NOT travel at a constant speed observably:

https://www.google.com/search?q=light+has+been+stopped&rlz=1C1GIGM_enUS535US535&oq=light+has+been+stopped&aqs=chrome..69i57.5647j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=light+has+been+slowed+down&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=light+has+been+slowed+down&aqs=chrome..69i57&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=light+slows+in+water&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=light+slows+in+water&aqs=chrome..69i57j0l4.4303j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=gravity+accelerates+and+bends+light&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=gravity+accelerates+and+bends+light&aqs=chrome..69i57.7016j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=neutrinos+faster+than+light&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=neutrino&aqs=chrome.0.69i59j69i57j0l4.4256j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8 (yes, I know they fired the OBJECTIVE scientist so they could cling to their beloved religion of relativity; but that doesn't mean relativity isn't factually disproven thereby and so many other ways)

they use this disproven theory to allege that even information is bounded by their imaginary constant (imaginary because observable throughout reality, the universe in which we exist all mass and energy travel at variable speeds and as I have just plainly shown SCIENTISTS KNOW THAT IS TRUE!)

already scientists have shown that information is in no way bounded by the speed of light:

<http://atomiumculture.eu/content/quantum-physics-distance> (shorter distances than this have been achieved in transmitting information faster than the speed of light in multiple experiments already to date)

https://www.google.com/search?q=scientists+have+teleported+information&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=scientists+have+teleported+information&aqs=chrome..69i57.11431j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=quantum+spooky+action+faster+than+light&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=quantum+sp&aqs=chrome.0.69i59j69i57j0l4.4247j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

In one breath adherent to the fiction of relativity will say the universal has nothing to do with how light actually travels or the properties of light; and in the next say that it is the speed of light that determines their imaginary "universal constant". Setting an imaginary constant to bound how fast mass and energy can travel is like thinking you can post a speed limit of 100 mph in the age when automobiles and airplanes didn't exist yet and say because we have observed to date that nothing moves faster than this on earth; NO ONE IN ALL THE UNIVERSE WILL EVER MOVE FASTER THAN THIS! It is just plain RUBBISH!

The "universal constant" is imagined RUBBISH and if you don't think so; it is only because for some reason you are unwilling or unable to face reality. THE ONLY THING IN ALL THE UNIVERSE THAT IS UNCHANGING, IS OUR ETERNAL CREATOR. <http://biblehub.com/hebrews/13-8.htm>

2) THE DEFINITIONS OF ENERGY AND MASS ARE IN NO WAY SYNONYMOUS OR INTERCHANGABLE! These are two entirely dissimilar terms! THEY CANNOT THEREFORE BE SET AS AN EQUIVALENCY BY ANYONE, ANYTHING, and definitely not by a formula; no matter how beloved it is.

https://www.google.com/search?q=energy+definition&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=energy+de&aqs=chrome.1.69i57j0l5.4538j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

https://www.google.com/search?q=definition+of+mass&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=definition+of+mass&aqs=chrome..69i57j0l5.3664j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8

the differences between mass and energy are so obvious the man who proposed $e=mc^2$ actually in his own notes shows HE DIDN'T ACTUALLY LIMIT such proposed formula to such simplicity. (because even Einstein knew there are MANY FACTORS (kinds of) energy and mass to consider interwoven throughout space time) http://www.pitt.edu/~jdnorton/Goodies/Zurich_Notebook/ when scientists attempt to "prove" the theory by mathematics they use clever deceptions like this one:

<https://www.math.toronto.edu/mathnet/falseProofs/first1eq2.html>

Specifically, they imagine a non-existent state of energy that defies its own definition "energy at rest" E0. WHERE IN THE ENTIRE UNIVERSE has this state been observed? YOU GUESSED IT! IT HASN'T! (BECAUSE ENERGY IS NEVER "AT REST"; leastwise, not to the point where it can no longer be defined as energy/ ability to do work.) The kind of energy at rest such proof assumes is fiction on an imagined level equal to the intangible notion of "absolute zero". Theoretical physicists that attempt to imagine such a state of being claim that neither energy or mass can actually exist at absolute zero.

https://www.google.com/search?q=neither+energy+or+mass+can+exist+at+absolute+zero&rlz=1C1G1GM_enUS535US535&oq=neither+energy+or+mass+can+exist+at+absolute+zero&aqs=chrome..69i57.13736j0j8&sourceid=chrome&espv=210&es_sm=93&ie=UTF-8 My point in showing people these things is SCIENTISTS WANT EVERYONE TO BELIEVE THIS THEORY IS OBSERVABLE FACT; BASED ON NOTHING BUT UBIQUITOUS OBSERVATIONS THROUGHOUT THE UNIVERSE. And all I am saying is WRONG! that is simply not true. Even their so called proofs are completely imaginary, based on imaginary (false) concepts.

To summarize, I have provided observable evidence that the so called imagined universal constant is just that IMAGINARY! It is simply not true in any way. LOOK AT THE CITED INFORMATION! READ!

I have shown you that scientists waffle back and forth on definitions in order to keep the illusion going that they are more intelligent than all the rest of us and are at liberty to define observable reality in any way that suits their purposes (desperate attempts to "prove" as true something that is fundamentally false like $E=mc^2$) in suggesting they have found "evidence" to support their chosen confirmation bias). But to us all read the definitions of energy and mass ALL of them and you will see what I am explaining here. When it comes right down to it the terms are dissimilar; so dissimilar that it was complete folly to attempt to equivocate them from the onset of the imagination that postulated the theory in the first place. ENERGY exists with/within AND ALL AROUND mass. ENERGY EXISTS WITHOUT MASS. It is ubiquitously obvious as the Light that bathes us moment by moment from our sun (and all the many stars throughout the universe); let alone the many "forces of attraction" that seem to overlap in so many scientific glossaries; like covalent bonding, molecular adhesion, electro-magnetism, gravity, fusion etc. energy relates to work and force; mass relates to an aspect of matter, weight, density. ENERGY ACTS UPON MASS, mass needs energy to even present itself as such; but the existence of ENERGY is in no way dependent conversely upon the existence of mass. For all the cited reasons (proof the speed of the imaginary "universal constant" has been broken in numerous ways on numerous occasions), and all the logic fallacies involved in any proposed "proofs" and the dissimilar definitions and the pervasive observable evidence in the universe all around us; $E=mc^2$ is obvious rubbish; such incredibly obvious rubbish, that those attempting to argue with me otherwise (at least to date) have only succeeded in making themselves look quite foolish.

I am not saying that energy does not exist within mass; holding it together, even substantial amounts of energy. Nor am I saying that a formula that suggests this relationship is wrong in suggesting that observation. What I am saying is that you can have a "formula" if you like, that achieves the desired result of attempting to estimate just how much energy is within various kinds of mass/matter WITHOUT coming to completely FALSE CONCLUSIONS in the process; like energy and mass are interchangeable terms or are dependent upon each other for either to exist (I reiterate mass is dependent upon energy to exist, but energy is NOT dependent upon mass to exist) or can be equivocated universally (by claiming all mass and all energy have a standard baseline relationship; when that is such nonsense as the periodic table so plainly shows; in other words VARIOUS LEVELS OF ENERGY are in DIFFERENT KINDS OF MASSES; VARIOUS QUANTITIES OF ENERGY are necessary to hold together various masses, matter, elements, atoms as their atomic weights tell us all so plainly; not to mention the properties of those various elements (how stable/unstable they are)), or to falsely claim "mass gets heavier" just by moving it faster with relation to yourself or any other given mass traveling in the universe, or that there is a maximum speed limit for all mass and even information; leastwise, bounded (by anything other than the Speed of Thought by our CREATOR); as they have at present with the speed of light in a controlled manmade setting. (all those are false conclusions exist irrespective of true observation; that energy (various amounts of it; with/within mass; various kinds/types of it). And every one of those false conclusions exist because $E=mc^2$ is fundamentally not true. PLEASE READ MY NOTES BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO DEBATE ME ON THIS TOPIC (follow and research the cited references); especially if your intent is to use logic fallacies such as those who resort to ad hominem instantly when confronted with any thing contrary to their present worldview.

Even though I have read thousands of books in my lifetime; even though I have a background in bio-medical sciences, natural sciences, fields of energy sciences, computer sciences, and many other varied careers; and all while yet doing years of study and research, deep meditation throughout my entire incarnation (I used to read encyclopedias as a child; various volumes of them from various publishers); I am NOT citing those as reasons as to how I know TRUTH from deception; lest people imagine that they must do the same. **All you need to KNOW in order to know what is TRUE; is to know the ONE who is TRUTH; the ONE TRUE GOD, OUR CREATOR, LORD AND SAVIOR!!!!!!!** <http://biblehub.com/john/14-6.htm> and http://biblehub.com/1_john/5-20.htm and http://biblehub.com/1_john/2-27.htm and <http://biblehub.com/john/14-26.htm> and <http://biblehub.com/john/16-13.htm>

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ffzB_HK9sNU

I am often wrongly assumed to be coming from a position of arrogance (in writing such notes as <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/mentally-challenged/575010789244551>, and <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/stupidity/527894057289558> and <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evolution-and-atheism-intertwined-religions-of-the-insane/492209810857983>); instead; what I am doing is showing the truly arrogant, who laugh at and denigrate any and all "religious" persons; holding them in contempt and derision; that it is rather foolish of them to do so: 1) because anyone with a devotion to what they believe is religious (especially some of these stubborn scientists who imagine a theory and then search for countless ways to find their own chosen confirmation bias (most often creating, (even by numerous examples of intentional fraud), their own support for their own imagination; rather than, as they try to convince us all of, pure objectivity) 2) because when they ignore OVERWHELMING ACTUAL OBSERVABLE EVIDENCE like: <http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/evidence-of-god-our-creator/568134419932188> and <http://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-sufferings-of-christ-a-treatise-on-repentance-and-forgiveness/556880667724230>; IT IS THEN THAT THEY PRESENT THEMSELVES AS SO BLINDLY RELIGIOUS TO THEIR OWN WORLDVIEWS that if they really saw how much would be shamefully embarrassed (and I am trying to save them and any children they would indoctrinate with their rubbish from that shame by showing them factual evidence for the reality in which we all exist; which doesn't include the imaginary fictional theories many of them cling to in vain) 3) BECAUSE I KNOW THAT MANKIND WILL NEVER BE RID OF THEIR IMAGINATIONS, DECEPTIONS, DELUSIONS, RELIGIONS UNTIL EACH AND EVERY SOUL KNOWS THE ONE TRUE GOD, CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE AND IS LEARNING FROM HIM DIRECTLY! I KNOW HE IS THE ANSWER, THE FOUNDATIONAL, FUNDAMENTAL TRUTH TO SOLVING ALL OUR PROBLEMS! As such, I want to bring all humanity to that realization as quickly as possible.

When you are confronted with Truth and those of us who KNOW our CREATOR ask you, <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/are-you-a-christian/532952590117038> Are you one of the many wise souls GRATEFUL to GOD, our CREATOR, LORD and SAVIOR <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/saved-by-grace/584215104990786> that you will proudly; being certain of the fact; beyond all doubt be able to JOYFULLY answer, "YES! PRAISE ALMIGHTY GOD! YES!"

<https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-omniscience-of-our-creator/603749679703995> The Knowledge of GOD is so superior to any and all incarnate persons (yes, even idolized "scientists") that HE http://biblehub.com/1_corinthians/1-19.htm for that very reason; so that other souls; especially children would choose to learn from HIM; who is TRUTH; who will never lie to or deceive them; instead of the fantasies and imaginations of fallible men. When I came to KNOW our Creator personally, I regretted every instant that I had spent of my incarnation in ignorance of Him. My notes are written for the purpose of saving others from that grief, that sorrow, that regret of not knowing the SOURCE of ALL TRUTH, ALL WISDOM, ALL KNOWLEDGE, ALL LOVE, ALL PEACE, ALL JOY, and every VIRTUE even one second longer. Please call upon Him now! Ask Him to REVEAL HIMSELF to YOU, personally! Be GRATEFUL for HIS Sacrifice on the CROSS to save your own soul from darkness, deception and every evil; not just from the hell to come; but from the hellish imaginations and wicked deeds we observe in the world we are already in; being thought and done by those who remain estranged from our CREATOR; either by ignorance or intent! He is not just LORD but HE IS SAVIOR; allow Him to SAVE you right now; to your present and everlasting JOY! Learn from GOD, my friends; Learn from Him now and forever!

Like · · Share

• Jason Cooke likes this.



• Scott Graham You knew I couldn't let this go by without a comment. <http://youtu.be/ogSTvmouXkM> Energy and mass are related to each other by the well-known formula $E_0 = mc^2$, where E_0 is the ...[See More](#)

Binding Energy

- Energy required to break apart the nucleus and overcome strong nuclear force is known as the **binding energy**.
- Mass of stable nucleus is actually less than the mass of component nuclear particles (**nucleons**).
 - Difference in mass is known as the **mass defect** (Δm).

$$E_{binding} = (\Delta m)c^2$$

High School Physics - Mass Energy Equivalence

A brief introduction to mass-energy equivalence ($E=mc^2$) for high school physics students. For more information, visit <http://aplusphysics.com/>

March 23 at 8:56am · Like · Remove Preview



• Michael Swenson ^ 1) "E0 is the rest energy" = pure imagination/false premise as there exists no such thing in reality; such a state as "rest energy" is so obviously flawed as it defies the very definition of energy; especially as it is observed in REALITY. 2) "c is ...[See More](#)



Proverbs 18:13 To answer before listening-- that is folly and shame.

biblehub.com

He that answers a matter before he hears it, it is folly and shame to him. that Then shall you inquire, and make search, and ask diligently; and, ?

March 23 at 9:03am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson

<https://www.facebook.com/mimigstyleblog/photos/a.194656517331551.45183.194642400666296/451226551674545/?type=1&theater> and <http://biblehub.com/galatians/1-10.htm>



Timeline Photos

Today's words of encouragement. Don't let one persons opinion of you change YOUR opinion of YOURSELF. -Mimi G

By: Mimi G. Style

March 23 at 9:11am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Scott Graham I find it very odd when I post a comment on a "Christian" friend's posts that maybe science and religion can co-exist. I get called names and insulted. Now, I have never insulted or hinted that your belief's are narrow minded and wrong no matter what you post. It would be nice that I might be extended the same courtesy.

March 23 at 9:47am · Like · 1



Michael Swenson The reason I ask you to READ my notes before replying; is then you would understand I AM NOT insulting you or anyone (hence the post just before your last one (should be applied and adopted by all)). I suppose unless they choose willfully to remain in a...[See More](#)

The Eternal Creator can be Known

The Eternal Creator can be known <http://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Jer...>[See More](#)

By: Michael Swenson

March 23 at 9:59am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Scott Graham Your last posts wasn't up when I wrote my last post..

March 23 at 11:31am · Like



Michael Swenson your reading comprehension needs to be improved: " (hence the post just before your last one (should be applied and adopted by all))." which contains "...[See More](#)



Timeline Photos


Today's words of encouragement. Don't let one persons opinion of you change YOUR opinion of YOURSELF. -Mimi G

By: Mimi G. Style

March 23 at 12:13pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded...



Secret Military Space Mirrors

Are miniaturized versions of the world's largest ionospheric heater (HAARP) all ...[See More](#)

March 23 at 5:27pm · Like · Remove Preview



Scott Graham I posted that video because I thought it was fitting to the same level of logic you used to make your assessment of relativity. If someone wants to prove to me that a theory is wrong they better use more than a Bible. I don't want to debate the history...[See More](#)

March 23 at 6:15pm · Like




Michael Swenson Your persistent ignorance is showing; not only of the GREATEST, MOST RELIABLE BOOK in the entire world BY FAR <https://www.facebook.com/notes/michael-swenson/the-ineffably-awesome-holy-bible/621311364614493> but of all the material cited (which is SUBSTA...[See More](#)

The Ineffably Awesome Holy Bible
This Book, the Holy Bible, is a book so unlike any other on earth, that it requi...[See More](#)
By: Michael Swenson

March 23 at 6:58pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson<https://www.facebook.com/GCScience/photos/a.296894256999715.69511.278360458853095/709652112390592/?type=1&theater> ... many of them falsely accuse Christians of logic fallacies while making their own. I am learning why Paul wanted to preach the Gospel ...[See More](#)



Timeline Photos
By: GC Science

March 24 at 7:58pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson ...[See More](#)



Dark Angels In Disguise: You Must Wake Up Now, or Be Enslaved! Have You Been Deceived Already?...

beforeitsnews.com

Justin Martyr, a philosopher who converted to Christianity during the time of Po...[See More](#)

March 27 at 4:02pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10152015890068342&set=a.10151599129428342.1073741834.54513918341&type=1&theater>



Mobile Uploads


Take time to seek The Lord this morning! He loves you and wants an intimate personal relationship with you!

By: Daystar Television Network

March 30 at 5:20pm · Like · Remove Preview



Michael Swenson <https://www.facebook.com/photo.php?fbid=10203571194626418&set=a.2133888112726.2130354.1411762470&type=1&theater>



Mobile Uploads

Our brother [Segun Ameh](#) writes, "...please kindly help me with a laptop for wide ...[See More](#)
By: Robert Weiss

March 31 at 2:36pm · Like · Remove Preview



[Michael Swenson](#) https://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded...



Soviet KGB defector & Communist propaganda expert, predicts Obama's gameplan almost 30 years ago

A WARNING from ex-KGB communist defector Yuri Bezmenov from "29 YEARS AGO", deta...[See More](#)

April 3 at 2:19pm · Like · Remove Preview